

EMBARGOED UNTIL 2:00 PM US ET THURSDAY, 3 JANUARY 2013

tor generally span a very wide range that also grows with increasing molecular size and temperature. For example, the limiting models predict prefactors that differ by a factor of about 250 for methane desorption near 65 K, with this difference increasing to nearly  $5 \times 10^4$  for *n*-butane desorption at 170 K (2).

Without a reliable estimate of the entropic contribution, the calculated desorption rate can have an uncertainty of several orders of magnitude. Previously, the available data were insufficient to establish a trend in the entropies of adsorbed molecules. To address this issue, Campbell and Sellers calculated the entropies of numerous adsorbed molecules by evaluating reported data obtained from measurements of equilibrium adsorption isotherms and thermal desorption rates. They found that the standard entropies of the adsorbed molecules  $S_{\text{ad}}^0$  are linearly correlated with the standard entropies of the gaseous molecules  $S_{\text{g}}^0$ , and that the relation  $S_{\text{ad}}^0 = 0.70S_{\text{g}}^0 - 3.3R$  accurately fits a large set of data, spanning entropy values over a range of  $\sim 50R$ . The data set includes different classes of molecules and surfaces, such as *n*-alkanes, methanol, and several small molecules adsorbed on magnesium oxide, the close-packed (111) crystal face of platinum, and graphite surfaces. The desorption prefactors computed from the Campbell-Sellers

equation reduce the maximum error to 50.

The large slope of the Campbell-Sellers relation reveals that the entropies of adsorbed molecules are quite high and approach those of two-dimensional gas molecules. The implication is that the adsorbed molecules move nearly freely within the surface plane at temperatures where desorption first becomes important. The Campbell-Sellers relation establishes that, in general, adsorbed molecules readily overcome in-plane barriers to motion once the molecules acquire nearly enough energy to surmount the larger energy barrier for desorption (see the figure).

Although this finding is physically reasonable and perhaps obvious in retrospect, Campbell and Sellers have actually demonstrated and quantified the large entropies of adsorbed molecules. Thus, modeling the in-plane, center-of-mass motions as localized vibrations substantially overestimates desorption prefactors for many adsorbed molecules. This idea was recently confirmed for the dissociation of molecular propane on a palladium oxide surface (3) and has also been demonstrated by molecular dynamics simulations of alkane desorption (4). Remarkably, the Campbell-Sellers equation also applies to molecules present in adsorbate islands, indicating that even densely packed molecules have high entropies near the onset of desorption.

Theoretical work may be able to provide a general framework from which to calculate entropies on the basis of molecule and surface properties. The Campbell-Sellers equation will provide essential guidance to such efforts, as it reveals that the molecule-surface potential continues to slightly hinder the motions of adsorbed molecules even as desorption becomes important. Key challenges in theoretical modeling will be to develop methods that properly and efficiently describe the weakly hindered motions of various types of molecules adsorbed on different surfaces. Although more challenging, this situation is analogous to determining the torsional states available to many polyatomic molecules. The findings of Campbell and Sellers indeed represent an important advance in the understanding and quantification of the entropies of a wide range of adsorbed molecules, and may well be broadly applicable.

#### References

1. C. T. Campbell, J. R. V. Sellers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 18109 (2012).
2. S. L. Tait *et al.*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **125**, 234308 (2006).
3. A. Antony, A. Asthagiri, J. F. Weaver, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 12202 (2012).
4. K. A. Fichthorn, R. A. Miron, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 196103 (2002).

10.1126/science.1231552

## SOCIAL SCIENCE

# Science, New Media, and the Public

Dominique Brossard and Dietram A. Scheufele

Nine in 10 internet users in the United States turn to search engines to find information (1), and 60% of the U.S. public seeking information about specific scientific issues lists the Internet as their primary source of information (2). This has created a new urgency for scientists to pay attention to these trends and to the emerging scholarly literature about communicating science in this brave new "online" world.

Among the U.S. public, time spent on the World Wide Web has been linked to more positive attitudes toward science, even when controlling for use of traditional mass media such as newspapers and television (3). For

instance, frequent Web users are more likely to report in surveys that they support basic scientific research even if it may not have immediate societal benefits. Research suggests that the availability of science news from the Internet may inform U.S. audiences with different educational backgrounds. In other words, online science sources may be helping to narrow knowledge gaps caused partly by science coverage in traditional media that tends to be tailored to highly educated audiences (4). Unfortunately, equivalent data for other countries is not available yet.

Recent communication research, however, has also identified at least three areas in which the new realities of an online information environment will increasingly force scientists and social scientists to rethink the interface between the science community

A better understanding is needed about how the online environment affects the communication of science information to the public.

and the public. One area is science journalism. The rise of online media since the late 1990s has come at the expense of traditional mass print and broadcast media. Less space has been allocated for scientific issues, even to the complete elimination of science coverage in some outlets (5). Today, audiences turn more and more to blogs and other online-only media sources for information about specific scientific issues and much less to online versions of traditional news outlets. Almost half of Americans currently rely on nontraditional online sources, and only 12% turn to science news from online content provided by traditional print newspapers and magazines (2).

Another area of concern is the trend among online information providers to select and prioritize content by using algorithms and/or audience metrics, such as how often an online

Department of Life Sciences Communication, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1545 Observatory Drive, Madison, WI 53706-1215, USA. E-mail: dbrossard@wisc.edu

